

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,750	09/22/2005	Ryou Sakurai	Q85434	3023	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 09/10/200 ION PLLC	7	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			THOMPSON, TIMOTHY J		
SUITE 800 • WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			TH			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/518,750	SAKURAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy J. Thompson	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the	•		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims	ex parto quayro, 1000 c.b. 11, 1	00 0.0. 210.				
<u> </u>						
4) Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-46</u> is/are pending in the app						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	iwn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1 and 3-46 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	u)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, priemy amaer ee e.e.e. 3	, (4) 0. (1).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· •		Stage			
application from the International Burea			olago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ed.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	- atent Application				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

DETAILED ACTION

Note; the previous election restriction is withdrawn since the claims were not properly group. The period fro response is reset.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-18, 25-41, drawn to image display device, classified in class 359, subclass 296.
- II. Claims 19-24, 42-46, drawn to a method of manufacturing a display device, classified in class 438, subclass 754.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the device could be made by a lamination process instead of using an adhesive.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 2873

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Election of Species

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

(If claims 1-18 and 25-41 are selected)

The applicant admits to distinct species being; Embodiment 1(first embodiment first aspect, para 0019), claims 1, 3, 4, 16-18; Embodiment II(first embodiment second aspect, para 0034) claims 5-7; Embodiment III(first embodiment third aspect, para 0052) claims 8-10; Embodiment IV(first embodiment fourth aspect, para 0069) claims 11, 12; Embodiment V(first embodiment fifth aspect, para 0077) claim 13-15; Embodiment VI(second embodiment first aspect, para 0024), claims 25-28, 39-41); Embodiment VIII(second embodiment second aspect, para 0043) claims 29-31; Embodiment VIII(first embodiment third aspect, para 0061) claims 32-34; Embodiment VIII(first embodiment fourth aspect, para 0075) claims 35, 36; Embodiment V(first embodiment fifth aspect, para 0091) claim 37, 38. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is

Application/Control Number: 10/518,750

Art Unit: 2873

allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

(If claims 19-24, 42-46 are selected)

The applicant admits to distinct species being Embodiment 1(first embodiment first aspect, para 0085), claims 19-24, and Embodiment II(second embodiment sixth aspect para 0090), claims 42-46. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 2873

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2342. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 6:00 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mack Ricky can be reached on (571) 272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TJT

